

The following comments have been received to the draft September 12<sup>th</sup> public meeting minutes. The comments will be reviewed during the next regular scheduled public meeting in conjunction with review and final approval of the minutes.

Comments received from Bob Eagan regarding the BP committee meetings. His suggested revision for that portion of the minutes is:

*My only change is when it was represented that the BP committee meetings "subcommittee has been unavailable for meeting, cancelling agreed to appointments". I believe what I said or what I meant here is that the meetings never occurred because we never received the attorneys report, and then just received the Quick Claim from BK, (email 8-18) and the follow-up call from SL (8-26) after OISD 8-22 meeting saying take it or leave it. No meetings were cancelled that I recall.*

Comments received from Barabara Kline and Tony Ghazel regarding minutes of public comment. Their suggested revision for that portion of the minutes is:

*Two representatives of the Orcas Island School District, Tony Ghazel and Barbara Kline, were the audience for the start of the meeting. They expressed an interest in the discussion about Buck Park. Tony Ghazel made it clear that he was present just representing himself and had concerns that the transfer process was stalled. The OISD meeting will be on September 26th. There will be a Public Hearing on the transfer of Buck Park at that meeting and a board discussion of the transfer documents.*

*An interactive discussion followed. OIPRD board members expressed their concern about the school district's terms of transfer for Buck Park. There was particular concern about the first requirement that gives the school district the right of first use. Some OIPRD board members see this as conflicting with the public's right of use to the park. An example was given of community tennis players being denied court time because a PE class has the right to use the court.*

*The discussion made it clear that the school district and OIPRD have very different positions on the school district's use of the park now and in the future. It was noted that the attorney for each district also hold opposing views on the school's use of the park. The other terms of transfer were also discussed.*